

JUDICIAL BOARD

UCLA UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS ASSOCIATION

MEMORANDUM

TO:	Ramneek Hazrah, Matthew Richard, USAC Election Board, & the Press
FROM:	Nicholas Yu, Chief Justice
DATE:	May 10, 2018
RE:	Decision: Ramneek Hazrah et. al v. USAC Election Board

On May 9, 2018, the JUDICIAL BOARD held a COURT OF HEARING for Case No. 18-4, *Ramneek Hazrah et al. v. USAC Election Board.*

The JUDICIAL BOARD was asked to issue judgment on two questions:

- 1. Did the Election Board violate Election Code Article 2.7. by failing to act on its mandated duty of fully investigating all claims of wrongdoing, specifically all claims of voter coercion?
- 2. Did the Election Board fail to issue a reasonable sanction in #C59-S2018 in accordance with Election Code Article 11.3.5.a-d.?

With regard to the first question, the JUDICIAL BOARD finds with the PETITIONERS, Ramneek Hazrah and Matthew Richard, with a vote of 4-0 in its favor.

With regard to the second question, the JUDICIAL BOARD finds with the PETITIONERS, Ramneek Hazrah and Matthew Richard, with a vote of 4-0 in their favor.

The JUDICIAL BOARD has ruled that the USAC Election Board failed to act on its mandated duty of fully investigating all claims of wrongdoing, specifically all claims of voter coercion, based on (1) an admission of guilt from Election Board for failing to issue a sanction in #C59-S2018 for attempted voter coercion, (2) Election Board's premature shutdown of the form typically used to submit complaints after the announcement of election results, (3) testimony presented at the Judicial Board hearing indicating Election Board's dereliction of duty in upholding Election Code Article 2.7.

The JUDICIAL BOARD has ruled that the USAC Election Board failed to issue a reasonable sanction in #C59-S2018 in accordance with Election Code Article 11.3.5.a-d. based on an admission of guilt from Election Board for failing to issue a sanction in #C59-S2018 for attempted voter coercion.

In accordance with the Official Rules of the Judicial Board, the full opinion will be made available within two weeks.

The Official Rules of the Judicial Board can be found on the USAC website at: <u>https://www.usac.ucla.edu/jboard/docs/jboardrulesv2.pdf</u>



JUDICIAL BOARD

UCLA UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS ASSOCIATION

OFFICIAL ORDER

FROM:	Nicholas Yu, Chief Justice
DATE:	May 10, 2018
RE:	Order: Ramneek Hazrah et al. v. USAC Election Board

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:

The JUDICIAL BOARD has moved and voted to issue an ORDER determined by the decision in *Ramneek Hazrah et al. v. USAC Election Board.*

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

The JUDICIAL BOARD requests the USAC Election Board to investigate new allegations of voter privacy invasion, voter coercion, or attempts of such ONLY IF the evidence provided at the hearing or any new information Election Board receives by 11:59 p.m. on May 11, 2018 is relevant to those allegations.

The JUDICIAL BOARD requests the USAC Election Board to further investigate claims of Election Code violations as detailed in #C61, C62, C64, and C66-S2018 ONLY IF evidence provided at the hearing or any new information Election Board receives by 11:59 p.m. on May 11, 2018 is relevant to the allegations detailed in those complaints.

The JUDICIAL BOARD suggests the USAC Election Board to re-open the online form typically used to submit alleged violations to the Election Code.

The JUDICIAL BOARD requests the USAC Election Board to issue a reasonable sanction in accordance with Election Code Article 11.3.5.a-d. after an admission of guilt from the USAC Election Board for failing to issue a sanction in #C59-S2018 for attempted voter coercion.

This order takes effect immediately.

The Official Rules of the Judicial Board can be found on the USAC website at: <u>https://www.usac.ucla.edu/jboard/docs/jboardrulesv2.pdf</u>