MEMORANDUM

TO: Ramneek Hazrah, USAC Election Board, & the Press

FROM: Abigail Chapman, Associate Chief Justice

DATE: May 29, 2018

RE: <u>Decision:</u> Ramneek Hazrah et. al v. USAC Election Board (erroneously titled)

On May 25th, 2018, the JUDICIAL BOARD held a COURT OF HEARING for Case No. 18-6, *Ramneek Hazrah et al. v. USAC Election Board (erroneously titled)*.

The JUDICIAL BOARD was asked to issue judgment on two questions:

- 1. Did the Election Board violate Election Code Article 2.7?
- 2. Did the Election Board violate the 18-4 Order issued by the JUDICIAL BOARD?

With regard to the first question, the JUDICIAL BOARD finds with the PETITIONER, Ramneek Hazrah, with a vote of 4-0 in its favor.

With regard to the second question, the JUDICIAL BOARD finds with the PETITIONER, Ramneek Hazrah, with a vote of 4-0 in its favor

The JUDICIAL BOARD has ruled that the USAC Election Board violated Election Code Article 2.7, specifically 2.7.1.d., based on the Election Board's failure to maintain contact with Matthew Richard on his alleged violation in order to provide context for the situation.

The JUDICIAL BOARD has ruled that the USAC Election Board failed to comply with the JUDICIAL BOARD order issued in 18-4 based on the fact that the Election Board failed to delineate a specific section titled "sanction" in the Notice of Findings in #C59-S2018.

In accordance with the Official Rules of the Judicial Board, the full opinion will be made available within two weeks.

The Official Rules of the Judicial Board can be found on the USAC website at: https://www.usac.ucla.edu/jboard/docs/jboardrulesv2.pdf

OFFICIAL ORDER

FROM: Abigail Chapman, Associate Chief Justice

DATE: May 29, 2018

RE: Order: Ramneek Hazrah et al. v. USAC Election Board (erroneously titled)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:

The JUDICIAL BOARD has moved and voted to issue an ORDER determined by the decision in *Ramneek Hazrah et al. v. USAC Election Board (erroneously titled)*.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

The JUDICIAL BOARD requests the USAC Election Board to issue a reasonable sanction in #C59-S2018 per JUDICIAL BOARD Order 18-4. The JUDICIAL BOARD agrees with the RESPONDENT that issuing no sanction is in accordance with Order 18-4 so long as the Election Board believes that no sanction is reasonable given that the voting period had ended. However, the JUDICIAL BOARD requests that Election Board clearly delineate a 'sanction' section within the Notice of Findings. The JUDICIAL BOARD further requests that the Election Board describe the sanction that would have been issued had the voting period not ended.

This order takes effect immediately.

The Official Rules of the Judicial Board can be found on the USAC website at: https://www.usac.ucla.edu/jboard/docs/jboardrulesv2.pdf