## MEMORANDUM

FROM: Chief Justice, Abigail Chapman

DATE: April 8, 2019

RE: <u>Petition Denied:</u> Petitioner v. Respondent (erroneously titled)

On April 8, 2019, the JUDICIAL BOARD officially received a Petition for Consideration (No. 19-2) filed by PETITIONER, Richard W. White against the RESPONDENT, Kyana Shajari.

The Petition for Consideration alleges that the RESPONDENT, in her capacity as Election Board Chair, appointed an Investigations Director to the Election Board without holding an application process.

The remedy the PETITIONER sought was for the JUDICIAL BOARD to nullify the RESPONDENT's appointment of the Investigations Director and to order an application process be held.

The JUDICIAL BOARD has DENIED the Petition for Consideration on the matter of Petitioner v. Respondent for the following reasons:

- 1. The title page does not name the PETITIONER and RESPONDENT by name in the title of the case.
- 2. The petition does not state reason(s) the JUDICIAL BOARD has jurisdiction over the action taken by the RESPONDENT in Part I of the petition.
- 3. Part II of the petition does not list a violation of any specific provision(s) brought about by the action(s) of the RESPONDENT.

The case will not be held for further review. As per the Official Rules of the Judicial Board, Article 1, §3, the denial of a "Petition for Consideration" should not be construed as an endorsement of either side of any issue.

Please refer to the Official Rules of the Judicial Board Article I for more information.

The Official Rules of the Judicial Board can be found on the USAC website at: https://www.usac.ucla.edu/jboard/docs/jboardrulesv2.pdf