



# JUDICIAL BOARD

## UCLA UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS ASSOCIATION

### MEMORANDUM

TO: Alicia Frison, Brad Fingard, Sanjana Nidugondi, USAC Election Board, & the Press  
FROM: Rachel Beyda, Chief Justice  
DATE: May 5, 2017  
RE: Decision: *Alicia Frison, et al. v. USAC Election Board*

---

On May 4, 2017, the JUDICIAL BOARD held a COURT OF HEARING for Case No. 17-2, *Alicia Frison, et al. v. USAC Election Board*.

The JUDICIAL BOARD was asked to issue judgment on three questions:

1. Did the Election Board violate Election Code Article 11.1, 11.2, and/or 11.3 by imposing Sanction #C52-S2017?
2. Did the Election Board violate Election Code Article 11.1, 11.2, and/or 11.3 by imposing Sanction #C63-S2017?
3. Did the Election Board violate Election Code Article 11.1, 11.2, and/or 11.3 by imposing Sanction #C64-S2017?

With regard to the first question, the JUDICIAL BOARD finds with the RESPONDENT, USAC Election Board, with a vote of 6-0 in its favor.

With regard to the second question, the JUDICIAL BOARD finds with the RESPONDENT, USAC Election Board, with a vote of 6-0 in its favor.

With regard to the third question, the JUDICIAL BOARD finds with the RESPONDENT, USAC Election Board, with a vote of 6-0 in its favor.

The JUDICIAL BOARD has ruled that the USAC Election Board did not violate Election Code Article 11.1, 11.2, and/or 11.3 by imposing #C52-S2017.

The JUDICIAL BOARD has ruled that the USAC Election Board did not violate Election Code Article 11.1, 11.2, and/or 11.3 by imposing #C63-S2017.

The JUDICIAL BOARD has ruled that the USAC Election Board did not violate Election Code Article 11.1, 11.2, and/or 11.3 by imposing #C64-S2017.

As such, the JUDICIAL BOARD hereby rejects the remedy sought by the PETITIONERS.

**In accordance with the Official Rules of the Judicial Board, the full opinion will be made available within two weeks.**